

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6

7 NAVEET SHARDA,
8 Plaintiff,

9 vs.

10 SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL
11 CENTER, LLC, *et al.*,
12 Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-02233-JCM-GWF

ORDER

13 The Court has received the parties' proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (ECF
14 No. 70). LR 26-1(e)(1) provides that unless otherwise ordered, discovery periods longer than one
15 hundred eighty (180) days from the date the first defendant answers or appears will require special
16 scheduling review. LR 26-1(d) states that if longer deadlines are sought, the plan shall state on its
17 face "SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED." Where such special scheduling review
18 is requested, the plan shall state the reasons why longer or different time periods should apply.

19 Here, the parties' proposed plan does not comply with LR 26-1(d) nor does it provide the
20 Court with sufficient information to justify a one year discovery period. Accordingly,

21 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the parties' proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling
22 Order (ECF No. 70) is **denied** without prejudice.

23 DATED this 1st day of March, 2018.

24
25 
26 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
27 United States Magistrate Judge
28